1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Clifford A. Chanler (Bar No. 135534) Laurence D. Haveson (Bar No. 152631) Josh Voorhees (Bar No. 241436) Troy C. Bailey (Pro Hac Vice) THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiffs ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and JOHN MOORE  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
11		
12	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case Nos. CGC-10-497729 and CGC-10-498981 (Consolidated Herein)
13	Plaintiff, v.	)
14	ALDO U.S., INC., ALDO GROUP, INC, et al.,	<ul><li>STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF</li><li>CONSENT JUDGMENT</li></ul>
15	Defendants.	) ) Complaints Filed: March 11, 2010 and
16 17		) April 23, 2010
18	JOHN MOORE,	) )
19	Plaintiff, v.	) )
20	KATE SPADE, LLC, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	)
22		) )
23		,
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD	CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1.1 The parties to this Stipulation for Entry of Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") are plaintiffs, Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Held") and John Moore ("Moore") (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and the Initial Settling Defendants that are listed on Exhibit A. Additional Opt-In Settling Defendants, as defined in Section 2.8, may be later added to this Consent Judgment through the opt-in procedure set forth in Section 8 below. Initial Settling Defendants and Opt-In Settling Defendants shall be referred to herein as "Settling Defendants." Settling Defendants and Plaintiffs are the "Parties" to this Consent Judgment.

Plaintiffs are individuals residing in the State of California who seek to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Commencing in late 2009 and continuing through 2010, Plaintiffs served 60-Day Notices of Violation under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), alleging that the entities named in those notices violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), a plasticizer contained in certain Fashion Accessories (as further defined in Section 2.5 below), without first providing a clear and reasonable warning regarding the risk of reproductive toxicity of DEHP.

1.3 Each Settling Defendant employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes or offers Fashion Accessories for sale in the State of California or has done so in the past. Each Settling Defendant represents that, as of the date it executes this Consent Judgment, no public enforcer is diligently prosecuting an action related to DEHP in its Fashion Accessories.

1.4 On March 11, 2010, Held filed the action entitled *Held v. Aldo U.S., Inc. and Aldo Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. CGC-10-497729, in the Superior Court of California for the City and County of San Francisco, alleging Proposition 65 violations as to certain Fashion Accessories. On April 23, 2010, Moore filed the action entitled *Moore v. Kate Spade, LLC., et al.*, Case No. CGC-10-498981, in the Superior Court of California for the City and County of San Francisco, alleging Proposition 65 violations as to certain Fashion Accessories. On or about August 23, 2010, Held filed a First Amended Complaint in Case No. CGC-10-497729, naming

several of the Settling Defendants as defendants therein with respect to DEHP in Fashion Accessories they sold or offered for sale in California. Collectively, the *Moore v. Kate Spade* complaint and the *Held v. Aldo* complaint are referred to herein as the "Actions". Upon approval and entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, Case Numbers CGC-10-497729 and CGC-10-498981 shall be deemed to have been consolidated by the Court for pre-trial purposes on its own motion and any judgment entered based on the Consent Judgment shall be filed in both Actions.

- 1.5 The Parties intend for this Consent Judgment to set an industry-wide DEHP Standard (as defined in Section 2.3 below) in Accessible Components (as defined in Section 2.1 below) of Fashion Accessories that manufacturers, importers, distributors, and retailers will implement following the time schedule set forth herein, and which will obviate the need for Proposition 65 warnings with regard to such Fashion Accessories.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations contained in the operative complaints applicable to each Settling Defendant (collectively the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over each Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and oversee this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in these Actions.

- **2.1** "Accessible Component" means a poly vinyl chloride or other soft plastic, vinyl, or synthetic leather component of a Covered Product that could be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use.
- 2.2 "Covered Products" means Fashion Accessories that are: (a) Manufactured (as defined in Section 2.7 below) by a Settling Defendant; or (b) distributed or otherwise transferred to a third party by a Settling Defendant; or (c) sold or offered for retail sale, including internet and/or catalogue sales, as a Private Label Covered Product by a Settling Defendant that is (i) the Private Labeler or (ii) a sister, parent, subsidiary, or affiliated entity within the same corporate family that is under common ownership of the Private Labeler of such product.
- **2.3** "DEHP Standard" means a maximum concentration of DEHP by weight of 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") or less, in each Accessible Component.
- **2.4** "Effective Date" means: (i) as to the Initial Settling Defendants listed on Exhibit A, the date on which a judgment based on this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court; and (ii) as to Opt-In Settling Defendants, the date on which this Consent Judgment is made effective as to the Opt-In Settling Defendant by the Court.
- 2.5 "Fashion Accessories" means: (i) wallets and other coin or bill holders; (ii) handbags, purses, clutches, and totes; (iii) belts; (iv) footwear; (v) apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits); (vi) jewelry; (vii) key holders, keychains, and key caps; (viii) luggage tags and ID cases; (ix) bag charms and zipper pulls; (x) eyeglass cases; (xi) coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3 players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops); (xii) coverings for journal/address books; (xiii) cosmetic cases/bags; and (xiv) toiletry cases/bags. Specifically excluded from the definition of Fashion Accessories are any and all products that are primarily intended for use by persons ages twelve and younger. The terms of this Consent Judgment apply to each Settling Defendant only as to those "categories" of Fashion Accessories in subsections (i)-(xiv) above which are designated for that Settling Defendant on Exhibit A.

- 2.6 "Initial Settling Defendants" means the defendants that have executed this Consent Judgment on or before September 10, 2010. Parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated entities that are under common ownership with an Initial Settling Defendant will be deemed to be included as Initial Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment to the extent they are specifically denominated with the listing of the Initial Settling Defendant's name on Exhibit A.
- 2.7 "Manufactured" and "Manufactures" have the meaning defined in Section 3(a)(10) of the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA") [15 U.S.C. § 2052(a)(10)], as amended from time to time.<sup>1</sup>
- 2.8 "Opt-In Settling Defendants" means the defendants that joined into this Consent Judgment pursuant to the procedure established in Section 8 below.
- 2.9 "Private Label Covered Product" means a Fashion Accessory that bears a private label where (i) the product (or its container) is labeled with the brand or trademark of a entity other than a manufacturer of the product, (ii) the entity with whose brand or trademark the product (or container) is labeled has authorized or caused the product to be so labeled, and (iii) the brand or trademark of a manufacturer of such product does not appear on such label.
- 2.10 "Private Labeler" means an owner or licensee of a brand or trademark on the label or other packaging of a product which bears a private label; provided, however, that a Settling Defendant is not a Private Labeler due solely to the fact that its name, brand or trademark is visible on a sign or on the price tag of a Fashion Accessory that is not labeled with a third party's brand or trademark.
- 2.11 "Vendor" means a person or entity that Manufactures, imports, distributes, or otherwise supplies a Fashion Accessory to a Settling Defendant, and that is not itself a Settling Defendant.

As of August 23, 2010, the term "Manufactured" and "Manufactures" means to manufacture, produce, or assemble.

3.1 DEHP Specification Compliance Date. No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, each Settling Defendant shall have provided the DEHP Standard to its then-current Vendors of Fashion Accessories that will be sold or offered for sale to California citizens and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide Fashion Accessories that comply with the DEHP Standard expeditiously. In addressing the obligation set forth in the preceding sentence, Settling Defendants shall not employ statements that will encourage a Vendor to delay compliance with the DEHP Standard.

### 3.2 Implementation of the DEHP Standard for Covered Products.

- **3.2.1** Commencing on December 15, 2011, a Settling Defendant shall not purchase, import, Manufacture, or supply to an unaffiliated third party any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California citizens that exceeds the DEHP Standard.
- 3.2.2 The deadline for meeting the DEHP Standard imposed pursuant to Section 3.2.1 above shall be extended to December 15, 2012, with respect to a Settling Defendant if the Settling Defendant requiring such an extension, provides a written notification to Plaintiffs and files a notice in this Court exercising such an election, on or before November 15, 2011. Such a Settling Defendant shall pay the additional penalty and reimbursement of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with the exercise of such an election as more specifically set forth in Sections 5.1.1(d) and 5.1.1(e) below.
- 3.2.3 The deadline for meeting the DEHP Standard may be extended a second time to December 15, 2013, with respect to its application to Fashion Accessories that are footwear if the Settling Defendant requiring such a further extension provides additional written notification to Plaintiffs and files further notice in this Court exercising such an option on or before November 15, 2012. Such a Settling Defendant shall pay the additional penalty and reimbursement of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with the exercise of this option as more specifically set forth in Section 5.1.1(e) and 5.1.2(d) below.

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

**4.1** Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Within 30 days after the Effective Date, each Settling Defendant shall notify Plaintiffs of a means sufficient to allow Plaintiffs to identify Covered Products supplied or offered by that Settling Defendant on or after that date, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling. Information provided to Plaintiffs pursuant to this Section 4.2, including but not limited to, the identities of parties to contracts among Settling Defendants or between Settling Defendants and third parties, may be designated by the Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information and, if so designated, shall not be disclosed to any person, including but not limited to, any Settling Defendant, without the written permission of the Settling Defendant who provided the information. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.160 and 2.550, et seq.

#### 5. PAYMENTS

#### 5.1 Payments.

**5.1.1 Payments by Initial Settling Defendants.** Except as provided for in subsection 5.1.1(c) below, each Initial Settling Defendant as identified on Exhibit A shall pay a base settlement amount totaling \$43,000 within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date. Settlement payments shall be made and delivered as set forth in subsection 5.1.2(f).

The base amount shall be allocated as follows:

(a) \$10,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All statutory civil penalties shall be allocated, as follows: 25% shall be paid to Plaintiffs and the remaining 75% shall be paid to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). The civil penalties recovered by Plaintiffs shall be apportioned with 85% (of the 25%) going to Held and 15% (of the 25%) going to Moore. This division shall apply to all civil penalties recovered under this Consent Judgment.

- (b) \$33,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.
- (c) If a Settling Defendant has identified itself in Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment with respect to only one category of the Fashion Accessories listed in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above, and has previously received a 60-Day Notice of Violation for DEHP in a Covered Product with respect to that category of Fashion Accessory, in lieu of the base amount specified in Section 5.1.1 above, it may pay a reduced base settlement amount of \$33,000; \$5,000 of which shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$28,000 of which shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.
- Section 3.2.2 shall, in addition to the amount set forth in Section 5.1.1, pay, at the time it provides notification of such an election, an additional \$12,000; \$8,000 of which shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), \$1,000 of which shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with Plaintiffs' oversight and recordkeeping in association with the exercise of such an election, and an additional \$3,000 of which may be awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' counsel as reimbursement for Plaintiffs' attorneys fees and costs, if justified, with any balance of the remaining \$3,000 not so awarded by the Court reverting to civil penalties.
- (e) Each Initial Settling Defendant that invokes the further option provided under Section 3.2.3 above shall, in addition to the combined amounts set forth in Section 5.1.1 and 5.1.1(d), pay, at the time it provides notification of such an election, an additional \$26,000; \$20,000 of which shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), \$1,000 of which shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with Plaintiffs' oversight and recordkeeping in association with the exercise of such an election, and an additional \$5,000 of which may be awarded by the Court to

Plaintiffs' counsel as reimbursement for Plaintiffs' attorneys fees and costs, if justified, with any balance of the remaining \$5,000 not so awarded by the Court reverting to civil penalties.

#### **5.1.2** Payments by Opt-In Settling Defendants.

- Notice of Violation. Each Opt-In Settling Defendant that has received a 60 Day Notice of Violation for DEHP in a Covered Product, from either Plaintiff, shall, in conjunction with its exercise of Section 8 below, pay a base settlement amount of \$46,000; \$12,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$34,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.
- Notice of Violation and Wish to Opt-In for Only One Category of Fashion Accessory. If an Opt-In Settling Defendant, in conjunction with its exercise of Section 8 below, elects to opt into the Consent Judgment with respect to only one category of the Fashion Accessories listed in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above, and has previously received a 60-Day Notice of Violation for DEHP in a Covered Product with respect to that category of Fashion Accessory, in lieu of the amounts specified in Section 5.1.2(a) above, it may pay a reduced base settlement amount of \$36,000; \$8,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$28,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.
- Opt-In Settling Defendants That Have Not Received a 60 Day Notice of Violation. Each Opt-In Settling Defendant that has not received a 60 Day Notice of Violation for DEHP in a Covered Product, shall, in conjunction with its exercise of Section 8 below and regardless of the number of categories of Fashion Accessories designated by said Opt-In Settling Defendant pay the applicable settlement amount, as follows: (i) \$28,000 for each Opt-In Settling Defendant that

executes a "Stipulation For Entry of Judgment" ("Opt-In Stipulation") on or before December 15, 2010, of which \$8,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$20,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs; and (ii) \$36,000 for each Opt-In Settling Defendant that has not received a 60 Day Notice of Violation for DEHP in a Covered Product that executes a Opt-In Stipulation after December 15, 2010, of which \$12,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$24,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.

- (d) In addition to payments required above, any Opt-In Settling Defendant subject to an existing complaint concerning the presence of DEHP in a Covered Product that has been filed prior to the date upon which said Opt-In Settling Defendant exercises its option under Section 8 below, shall pay a supplemental charge of \$20,000 to cover fees and expenses incurred by Plaintiffs for activities associated with the original filing of said existing complaint, on-going litigation, and/or activities associated with the subsequent dismissal of said complaint without prejudice.
- (e) Each Opt-In Settling Defendant shall, subsequent to their addition as a Party to this Consent Judgment, also have the right to exercise the election and options set forth in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.3 above based on the same payment terms set forth for the Initial Settling Defendants in Section 5.1.1(d) and 5.1.1(e) above.
- (f) All settlement payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be paid by means of a check made payable to The Chanler Group Attorney-Client Trust Fund. The funds for Opt-In Settling Defendants shall be paid at the time they submit their Opt-In Stipulation pursuant to Section 8 below. All settlement payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller Re: DEHP in Fashion Accessories Matter Parker Plaza 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214

Berkeley, CA 94710

#### 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the public interest and each Settling Defendant, and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP contained in Fashion Accessories that were sold by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date but only as to those categories of Fashion Accessories in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above that are Covered Products and designated, for each Initial Settling Defendant on Exhibit A, and for each Opt-In Defendant in its respective Stipulation for Entry of Judgment pursuant to Section 8.2 below.

6.2 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, the injunctive relief commitments set forth in Section 3, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 4 and 5, Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Settling

25

26

27

28

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.

#### 8. OPT-IN PROGRAM

8.1 This Consent Judgment is executed with the understanding that additional persons and entities who manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale Fashion Accessories not primarily intended for use by persons ages twelve and younger, in the State of California or has done so in the past and who are not Initial Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment may wish to subscribe to its terms. All Opt-In Defendants that have not already received a 60 Day Notice of Violation from the Plaintiff(s) concerning the type of Fashion Accessories they wish to address through the Opt-In must be able to certify that they have: (1) employed ten or more persons at any time within the Relevant Period;<sup>3</sup> (2) manufactured, imported, distributed, or offered for use or sale one or more such Covered Products that, during the Relevant Period, contain or contained the DEHP; and (3) sold and/or offered for use some such Covered Products in the State of California during the Relevant Period without "clear and reasonable" Proposition 65 warnings as that term is defined under 27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") §25601. At any time, on or before January 31, 2011, prospective Opt-In Defendants who are willing to confirm these representations may become Settling Defendants hereunder by means of executing the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment as provided in subsection 8.2 below and making the payment required of them under Section 5.1.2 above.

8.2 Each Opt-In Defendant shall execute a "Stipulation for Entry of Judgment" in the general form appearing in Exhibit C hereto ("Opt-In Stipulation") identifying whether the Opt-In Defendant has manufactured, imported, distributed or offered for use or sale in California Covered Products and certifying to the following facts: (1) the Opt-In Defendant has employed ten or more persons at any time within the Relevant Period; (2) the Opt-In Defendant manufactured, imported, distributed or offered for use or sale in California one or more specifically identified categories of Fashion Accessories in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above that are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Relevant Period" is defined for purposes of this Consent Judgment as the three (3) year period prior to the execution of the Opt-In Stipulation described in section 8.2.

Covered Products without a "clear and reasonable" Proposition 65 warning during the Relevant Period, (3) the Opt-In Defendant knows or has reason to believe that one or more Covered Products contained, during the Relevant Period, Accessible Components comprised of more than 1,000 parts per million of DEHP; and (4) the Opt-In Defendant has not performed and shared with Plaintiffs a risk or exposure assessment establishing that the Covered Products it offered for sale in California during the Relevant Period did not require Proposition 65 warnings with respect to DEHP. Each Opt-In Defendant shall cooperate with Plaintiffs in providing additional information or representations necessary to enable Plaintiffs to issue a 60-day notice and Certificate of Merit concerning DEHP in the Covered Products ("Notice").

- 8.3 Not later than ninety (90) days after Plaintiffs receive a completed Opt-In Stipulation, any additional information or representations necessary to support a Notice, and the payment required pursuant to Section 5.1.2 above, Plaintiffs shall send a Notice pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) to the Opt-In Defendant, to the Office of the California Attorney General, to every California district attorney, and to every California city attorney required to receive such a notice pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7.
- No earlier than seventy (70) days from the date specified in a Notice sent to an Opt-In Defendant and provided that no authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 has filed a lawsuit against that Opt-In Defendant with respect to DEHP in the Covered Products, Plaintiffs shall file in this Court an application for entry of any executed Opt-In Stipulation Plaintiffs have received pursuant to the above and shall serve notice thereof on all Initial Settling Defendants via email. Such application must be filed with the Court by September 15, 2011, at the latest unless the Court provides leave authorizing a later date. If the Court approves the application for entry of the Opt-In Stipulations, the Complaint shall be deemed to have been amended to specifically name the Opt-In Defendants that executed the Opt-In Stipulations as named defendants in this Action and each such Opt-In Defendant shall be deemed to have become a full Settling Defendant under this Consent Judgment and will likewise assume all applicable obligations and rights set forth under this Consent Judgment. In the event that an authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 files a lawsuit against an Opt-In Defendant with respect to DEHP in the Covered

28

Products prior to running of the sixty (60) day period established by a Notice issued by Plaintiffs pursuant to Section 8.3 above, Plaintiffs shall refund the full payment submitted to them by such an Opt-In Defendant and then have no further obligations to that Opt-In Defendant under this Section 8.

8.5 At the time Plaintiffs file the application for entry of the Opt-in Stipulations with the Court pursuant to Section 8.4 above, they shall prepare and file with the Court and serve on the Office of the California Attorney General, an application for approval of the attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement payments collected in conjunction with such Opt-In Stipulations pursuant to Section 5.1.2 above. The application shall be supported by one or more declarations reporting the results of the Opt-In program provided for in this Section 8, including all expenses and attorneys fees incurred by Plaintiffs' counsel with respect to the Opt-In Defendants and the Opt-In program relative to the attorneys' fee and cost reimbursement provided by Section 5.1.2 above. In the event that the application indicates that total amount of expenses and attorneys fees incurred by Plaintiffs' counsel with respect to the Opt-In program is less than the total amount of reimbursement provided pursuant to Section 5.1.2 above, the application shall provide that, upon approval of the application by the Court, Plaintiffs' counsel shall, within thirty (30) days, disgorge the difference to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiffs' counsel may offset any amount that would otherwise be disgorged pursuant to this Section with those attorneys fees and costs incurred with respect to their investigation, litigation and enforcement of this entire matter that exceed the payments made by the Settling Defendants.

# 9. ADDITIONAL ENFORCEMENT FOR NONCONFORMING NON-COVERED PRODUCTS.

9.1 If, on or after July 1, 2010, Plaintiffs allege that a Settling Defendant offered for retail sale to California consumers, or to a distributor for the purpose of retail sales in California, a product not primarily intended for use by persons ages twelve and younger that is not a Covered Product for an Initial Settling Defendant as specified on Exhibit A or for an Opt-In Defendant as specified on its Stipulation for Entry of Judgment pursuant to Section 8.2 above,

does not fall within the product categories of (i) sauna suits, (ii) exercise mats or exercise balls, (iii) window coverings or curtains, or (iv) vinyl flooring, and that contains DEHP in an amount that exceeds the DEHP Standard ("Nonconforming Non-Covered Product"), then prior to Plaintiffs serving a 60-Day Notice under Proposition 65 on such Settling Defendant, Plaintiffs shall provide a letter to the Settling Defendant and the Parties shall then proceed pursuant to this Section 9. The letter shall contain the following information: (a) the date the alleged violation was observed and the product was purchased, including a copy of the sales receipt; (b) the location or website at which the product was offered for sale; (c) a description of the product, including a picture thereof and a picture of identifying information appearing on the tag or label; and (d) data obtained by Plaintiffs regarding the product such as laboratory results associated with the testing of the product.

- 9.2 Notice of Election. Within 30 days of receiving a letter pursuant to Section 9.1, the Settling Defendant shall serve a Notice of Election on Plaintiffs. The Notice of Election shall:
  - **9.2.1** Identify to Plaintiffs (by proper name, address of principal place of business and telephone number) the person or entity that sold the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product to the Settling Defendant;
  - **9.2.2** Identify the manufacturer and other distributors in the chain of distribution of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product, provided that such information is reasonably available to the Settling Defendant; and
  - 9.2.3 Include either: (i) a statement that the Settling Defendant elects not to proceed under this Section 9, in which case Plaintiffs may take further action including issuance of a 60-Day Notice under Proposition 65; (ii) a statement that the Settling Defendant elects to proceed under this Section 9, or (iii) a statement that the Settling Defendant contends that the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product is released from liability by a Qualified Settlement under Section 9.4.1 along with a copy of such Qualified Settlement.

- 9.3 A party's disclosure pursuant to this Section 9 of any (i) test reports, (ii) confidential business information, or (iii) other information that may be subject to a claim of privilege or confidentiality, shall not constitute a waiver of any such claim of privilege or confidentiality, provided that the Party disclosing such information shall clearly designate it as confidential. Any Party receiving information designated as confidential pursuant to this Section 9 shall not disclose such information to any unrelated person or entity, and shall use such information solely for purposes of resolving any disputes under this Consent Judgment.
- 9.4 No further action is required of the Settling Defendant under this Consent Judgment, and Plaintiffs shall not serve a 60-Day Notice on the Settling Defendant regarding the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product, if either:
  - **9.4.1** The Nonconforming Non-Covered Product is otherwise released from liability for alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product by the terms of a separate settlement agreement or consent judgment entered into under Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 and, if an authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 is not a party, reported to the California Attorney General's Office ("Qualified Settlement"); or
  - **9.4.2** At least one of the person(s) identified by the Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections 9.2.1 or 9.2.2 is a person in the course of doing business as defined in Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(b) and (ii) has a principal place of business located within the United States.
- **9.5** If the Settling Defendant elects not to proceed under Section 9, then neither the Settling Defendant nor Plaintiffs have any further duty under this Section 9 and either may pursue any available remedies under Proposition 65 or otherwise.
- 9.6 If the Settling Defendant elects to proceed under this Section 9 and is not relieved of liability under Section 9.4., the Settling Defendant shall within sixty (60) days: (i) terminate its further distribution for sale of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product in California (unless it is reformulated to meet the DEHP Standard within that time), (ii) pay a statutory penalty in the amount of \$4,000 pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b),

and (iii) pay \$25,800 in reimbursement of a portion of attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs with respect to the notice.

- 9.7 If a Settling Defendant makes payments pursuant to Section 9.6 and at a later date Plaintiffs resolve the alleged violation with the direct or indirect Vendor, identified in Sections 9.2.1 or 9.2.2, of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product, Plaintiffs shall notify the Settling Defendant and the Settling Defendant shall be entitled to a refund of the lesser amount of its contribution or the settlement amount paid by such Vendor. If the settlement or consent judgment between Plaintiffs and the direct or indirect Vendor of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product does not provide for the refund to be paid directly by the Vendor to the Settling Defendant, then Plaintiffs shall pay the refund to the Settling Defendant within 15 days of receiving the Vendor's settlement payment.
- **9.8** Nothing in this Section 9 affects Plaintiffs' rights to issue a 60-Day Notice under Proposition 65 against any entity other than a Settling Defendant, except as to a Settling Defendant's customer of a Nonconforming Non-Covered Product that is subject to the Settling Defendant's election under Section 9.2.3(i) above.

#### 10. COURT APPROVAL

- 10.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.
  Plaintiffs shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling
  Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 10.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 10.1.

#### 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

11.1 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

## 12. OTHER TERMS

**12.1** The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

- 12.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 12.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter set forth in this Consent Judgment, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are deemed merged. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced in this Consent Judgment, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 12.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that any Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- 12.5 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (.pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 12.6 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.
- 12.7 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.

This Consent Judgment was subject to re	evision and modification by the Parties and has been
accepted and approved as to its final form	n by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this C	Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
Party as a result of the manner of the prep	paration of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
Consent Judgment agrees that any statute o	or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to
be resolved against the drafting Party shoul	d not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties her	reby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E	E. Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
a-1 110	
Unihony & Hell	C: an atoma
/ Signature	Signature
Date: APPROVED By Tony Held at 10:49 am, Aug 20, 2010	Date:
AGREED TO:	
Defendant,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Entity	
Signature	·
3y:	
Print Name	
its:	
Title	
Date:	<u> </u>
	10

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision	on and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any	
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any	
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this	
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or ru	le of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should no	ot be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby	waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
11		10 ala
12	Signature	Signature
13	Ç	Date: 8 /20 /10
14	Date:	Date: 8 / 20 / 10
15		
16	AGREED TO:	
17	Defendant,	
18	Entity	
19		
20	Signature	
21	Ву:	
22	Print Name	
23	Its:	
24	Title	
25	Date:	
26		
27		
28		

-19-

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consen
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
9	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
<b>11</b>	and and a wood
12	Signature Signature
13	APPROVED
14	Date: Date: Date:
15	
16	AGREED TO:
17	Defendant, Phillips Van Husen Corporation Entity  Lamela n/Lao Herr
18	Entity
19	tamela n/Laother
20	Signature
21	By: PAMEZA N ITOOTKIN Print Name
22	SVP
23	Its: Title
24	Date: 9/10/10
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
9	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
11	and and a wall
12	Signature Signature
13	APPROVED
14	Date: Date: Date:
15	
16	AGREED TO:
17	Defendant, Secus Roebuck and Co   Kmart Corporation
18	Entity
19	MARY Tox tems
20	Signature
21	By: Mary fortorice
22	Print Name
23	Its: VP Deputy benaral Course Date: 9/13/10
24	Date: 91310
25	Date: 113 10
26	•
27	
28	
	-19-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision	on and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by	y all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Cons	ent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparat	tion of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or ru	le of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should no	ot be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby	waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
11	anthony & N.DO	
12	Signature	Signature
13	APPROVED	
14	Date: By Tony Held at 10:49 am, Aug 20, 2010	Date:
15	AGREED TO:	
16	Defendant, Loungefly, Inc.	
17	Defendant, Detailed 17, 1110.	
18	the M	
19 20	Signature	•
21	_	
22	By: Trevor Schultz Print Name	
23	Its:CEO	
24	Title	
25	Date: 9/10/2010	
26		
27		
28		
		-19-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - I	EAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

.1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision	on and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, an	
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against an	
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparat	tion of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rul	le of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should no	ot be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby	waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
11		
12	Signature	Signature
13		
14	Date:	Date:
15		
16.	AGREED TO:	
17	Defendant, Aldo US Inc.	
18	Aldo US Inc.	
19		
20	Signature	
21	By: ROBERT RAVEN	
22	Print Name	
23	Its: VICE PRESIDENT FINANCE & TREASUR Title	LY, ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND TREASURER
24	Date: SERTEMBER 10 2010	
25	Date. 31.1 12.1 1por. 10. 30.10	
26		
27		N.
28		10
1	A result	-19-

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision	on and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by	all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Conse	ent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparati	ion of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rul	e of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6		t be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby	
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	
11	riamum, Arrinorri E. mede, rind., r.d.	1 mintin, 30111 WOOKE
12		
13	Signature	Signature
14	Data	Deter
15	Date:	Date:
16	AGREED TO:	
17	Defendant, HELEN OF TROY LP, BY HELEN	I OF TBOY NEUADA CORPORATION
18		entral partner)
19	62,000 (601)	
20	Signature	
21	By: GEFALD J. RUBIN	
22	Print Name	
23	Its: PRECIDENT, CEO & CHAIRMAN	
24	Title	
	Date: 08/25/2010	
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final famility all failes and their counsel. Accordingly, any
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Julganian shall not be interpreted against any
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or mile of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the dealthing Party should not be employed to the interpretation of this Consent
7	Indeprent and, in this payord, the Publics legally, Maive Confidence Civil Code Section 1654.
8	ITE SO STRUCTATION.
9	ACREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. Plaintiff, IOHN MOORE
11	
12.	Signature Signature
13	
14	Date:
15	AGREED TO:
16	
17	Defendant, Acme Accessories, Inc.
18	الما الما الما الما الما الما الما الما
19	U Semilie Sebetius Dinester of Selections
20	Acme Acoessanies, bic.
21	
22	Dete: September, 2010
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	19
	CONSENT. PUDGAGENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-49729

1		
2	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision	on and modification by the Parties and has been
3	accepted and approved as to its final form by	all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
4	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Conse	ent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
5	Party as a result of the manner of the preparati	ion of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
6	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule	e of construction providing that ambiguities are to
7	be resolved against the drafting Party should no	t be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
8	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby	waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
10	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
11	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
12		
13	Signature	Signature
14		_
15	Date:	Date:
16		
17	AGREED TO:	
18	Defendant, <u>Limited Brands, Inc; Victoria's</u> Secret Stores LLC; Bath & Body	
19	Works LLC	
20	Entity	
21	Lougas [ William	
22	Signature	
23	By: Douglas L. Williams	
24	Print Name	
25	Its: EVP & General Counsel Title	
26	Date: August 27, 2010	
27	Date. August 27, 2010	
28		

	1	
1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revisi	on and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by	y all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against an	
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparat	tion of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or ru	le of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should no	ot be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby	waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
11		
12	Signature	Signature
13		<b></b>
14	Date:	Date:
15		
16	AGREED TO:	
17	Defendant, Treblianno, LLC Entity	
18		
19	ful sec	
20	Signature	
21	By: Richard Schaeler Print Name	
22	Its: CFO	
23	Title	
24	Date: 8 31/2010	
25		
26		
27		
28		-19-

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision	on and modification by the Parties and has been
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any	
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any	
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparat	tion of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or ru	le of construction providing that ambiguities are to
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should no	ot be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby	waive California Civil Code Section 1654.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
11		
12	Signature	Signature
13		
14	Date:	Date:
15		
16	AGREED TO:	
17	Defendant, Fossil Inc.	
18	11.1.	
19	Signature	
20 21	•4	
22	By: Mark Quick Print Name	
23	Its: Vice Chairman	
24	litle	
25	Date: Suprim lar 2, 2010	
26		
27		
28		
i		-19-

	•		
1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been		
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any		
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any		
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this		
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to		
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent		
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE	
11			
12	Signature	Signature	
13	<del> </del>	<b>U</b>	
14	Date:	Date:	
15			
16	AGREED TO:		
17	Defendant, Collective Brands, Inc. Entity		
18	MA 1/27		
19	Many (		
20	Signature		
21	By: Michael J. Massey		
22	Print Name		
23	Its: <u>Senior Vice President and General Counsel</u> Title		
24	Date: Sept. 7, 2010		
25			
26			
27			
28		-19-	
	-19- CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEÁD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729		

1	This Consent Judgment was subject to revision	on and modification by the Parties and has been	
2	accepted and approved as to its final form by	all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any	
3	uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Cons	ent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any	
4	Party as a result of the manner of the preparat	tion of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this	
5	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rul	le of construction providing that ambiguities are to	
6	be resolved against the drafting Party should no	ot be employed in the interpretation of this Consent	
7	Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
10	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE	
11			
12	Signature	Signature	
13	~ .g	~.B	
14	Date:	Date:	
15			
16	AGREED TO:		
17	Defendant, Steven Madden, Ltd.		
18	Entity		
19	5 W Herled		
20	Signature		
21	By: DOWNTOO RUSENFELD Print Name		
22	LPD		
23	Its: Title		
24	Date: SEPTEMBER 10, 2010		
25	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /		
26			
27			
28		-19-	
		-1.2-	

1	
2	AGREED TO:
3	Defendant,Jones Apparel Group, Inc.
4	Entity
5	Jum. A
6	Signature
7	By: Ira M. Dansky Print Name
8	
9	Its: Executive Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel
10	Title
11 12	Date: September 7, 2010
13	TO SO ONDEDED
14	
	Date
15	Date
15 16	Date
15 16 17 18	Date:
15 16 17	Date:
15 16 17 18	Date:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Date:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Date:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Date:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Date:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Data
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Date
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Date
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Date

1		
2	AGREED TO:	
3	Defendant, Liz Claiborne, Inc. / Kate Spade	
4	Entity	
5	Dailloroun	
6	Signature	
7	By: Daryl Brown Print Name	
8		
9	Its: <u>Vice President Global Business Ethics &amp; Compliance</u> Title	
10	Date: August 27, 2010	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	JODGE OF THE SOFERIOR COURT	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
28	-20-	

CONTRACT HAPPING THE TRACK CHEEKS OF THE SERVICE

# **EXHIBIT A**

		Exhibit A
	Name	e of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
		Phillips-Van Heusen Corporation and its
		subsidiaries
]	Fashi	on Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
1	below	):
-	X	Wallets and other coin or bill holders
-	X	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
_	X	Belts
-	X	Footwear
-	X	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
-	X	Jewelry
-	X	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
-	X	Luggage tags and ID cases
_	X	Bag charms and zipper pulls
-	X	Eyeglass cases
-	X	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
		players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
-	X	Coverings for journal/address books
-	X	Cosmetic cases/bags
-	X	Toiletry cases/bags
	_	-1-
		CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

#### 1 Exhibit A 2 Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership): 3 Sears Roebuck and Co. / Kmart Corporation 4 and their subsidiaries 5 6 7 8 9 Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown 10 below): 11 X Wallets and other coin or bill holders 12 X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes 13 <u>X</u> **Belts** 14 <u>X</u> Footwear 15 X Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits) 16 <u>X</u> Jewelry 17 X Key holders, keychains, and key caps 18 \_X\_ Luggage tags and ID cases 19 X Bag charms and zipper pulls 20 \_X\_ Eyeglass cases 21 <u>X</u> Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3 22 players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops 23 <u>X</u> Coverings for journal/address books 24 X Cosmetic cases/bags 25 X Toiletry cases/bags 26 27 28 -1-

1	Exhibit A
2	
3	Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
4	Loungefly, Inc
5	
6	··
7	·
8	·
9	·
10	·
11	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
12	below):
13	x Wallets and other coin or bill holders
14	<u>x</u> Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
15	Belts
16	Footwear
17	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
18	<u>x</u> Jewelry
19	<u>x</u> Key holders, keychains, and key caps
20	_x Luggage tags and ID cases
21	x Bag charms and zipper pulls
22	Eyeglass cases
23	<u>x</u> Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
24	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
25	<u>x</u> Coverings for journal/address books
26	<u>x</u> Cosmetic cases/bags
27	x Toiletry cases/bags
28	
	-1-

# Exhibit A

Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):		
		Aldo US Inc.
		Aldo Group Inc.
		•
Fashi	nion Accessories Categories Applicable to Ab	ove (as indicated via checkmarks shown
below	w):	
$\checkmark$	Wallets and other coin or bill holders	
<u>√</u>	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes	
	Belts	
<u> </u>	Footwear	
1	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and e	excluding sauna suits)
$\sqrt{}$	Jewelry	
V	Key holders, keychains, and key caps	
	Luggage tags and ID cases	
<u>~</u>	Bag charms and zipper pulls	
<u>v</u>	Eyeglass cases	
V	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic device	ces (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops	
V	Coverings for journal/address books	
V	Cosmetic cases/bags	
$\sqrt{}$	Toiletry cases/bags	

## 1 Exhibit A 2 Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership): 3 4 Helen of Troy L.P. 5 Belson Products (assumed name) 6 Pro Beauty Tools (assumed name) 7 Fusion Tools (assumed name) 8 9 10 Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown 11 below): 12 Wallets and other coin or bill holders 13 Handbags, purses, clutches and totes 14 **Belts** 15 Footwear 16 <u>×</u> Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits) 17 Jewelry X 18 Key holders, keychains, and key caps 19 Luggage tags and ID cases 20 Bag charms and zipper pulls 21 Eyeglass cases 22 Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3 23 players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops 24 Coverings for journal/address books 25 Cosmetic cases/bags \_X\_\_ 26 Toiletry cases/bags \_X\_ 27 28

1	<b>Editor</b> A
_	
2.	Name of Settling Defendant (mil released rependant) until a milier miler common ownership):
3	Acres Assessment Inc.
4	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
5	below):
6	The state of the s
7	Wallets and other pale or bill balden.
8	Handbaga, purses, company of the second
9	<b>★</b> Belts
10	Footwear
11	Apparel, including gloves and headvess (and excluding same suits)
	∠ Jewelry
12	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
13	Luggage tags and ID cases
14	X Bag chame und hipper pulls
15	Byeglass cases
16	Coverings/osses the mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
17	players, CD&/DVDs, and laptings
18	kur kur - 프라이트 등 하는 일본 문항 전 시간 사람들이 있다면 보고 있는 사람들이 되었다. 그 사람들이 되었다면 보다
19	Coverings for journal/address books
20	Cosmetic cases/bags
21	Toilctry cases/bags
22	
23	- 보통
i	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CONSIST TEXAMENT - EPAD CASENIC COC-16-197729
	ニー・スト・マント しゅんきゅう しゃだ だいがん 読み アヤチャー

1		
2		Exhibit A
3	Name of S	Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
4		
5		BUENCIF CALIFORNIA, INC.
6		
7		
8		·
9		<u> </u>
10		··
11		
12		Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
13	below):	
14	Wa Wa	illets and other coin or bill holders
15	Har	ndbags, purses, clutches and totes
16	Bel	lts
17	Foo	otwear
18	Apı	parel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
19	Jew	relry
20	Key	y holders, keychains, and key caps
21	Lug	ggage tags and ID cases
22	Bag	charms and zipper pulls
23	∠ Eye	eglass cases
24	Cov	verings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
25	play	yers, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
26	Cov	verings for journal/address books
27	∠ Cos	metic cases/bags
28	<u> </u>	letry cases/bags
	r .	

1	
2	Exhibit A
3	Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
4	<u>Named</u>
5	<u>Limited Brands, Inc.</u>
6	Victoria's Secret Stores LLC.
7	Bath & Body Works LLC.
8	<u>Affiliated</u>
9	Victoria's Secret Brand Management LLC.
10	Limited Brands Direct Fulfillment Team .
11	Bath & Body Works Direct Inc.
12	Henri Bendel Inc.
13	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
14	below):
15	Wallets and other coin or bill holders
16	— ✓ Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
17	_√_ Belts
18	√ Footwear
19 ·	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
20	Jewelry
21	_√_ Key holders, keychains, and key caps
22	Luggage tags and ID cases
23	√ Bag charms and zipper pulls
24	Eyeglass cases
25	$\sqrt{}$ Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
26	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
27	Coverings for journal/address books
28	√ Cosmetic cases/bags
1	•

Exhibit A
Name of Sattling Defendent (and relevant associated autition and a service associated
Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
Trebbiarro, LUC. 29 West 35B
29 West 35th
New York, NY 10001.
212 868 2770
·
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
below):
Wallets and other coin or bill holders
✓ Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
Belts
Footwear
Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
Jewelry
Key holders, keychains, and key caps
Luggage tags and ID cases
Bag charms and zipper pulls
Eyeglass cases
Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
Coverings for journal/address books
Cosmetic cases/bags
Toiletry cases/bags
-1- CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1	Exhibit A	
2	Name of Sattling Defendant (and valouent accepiated entities and a common aumorphin).	
3	Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):	
4	Fossil, Inc.	
<b>5</b> .	Fossil Stores I, Inc.	
6	Fossil Stores I, Inc.	
7		
8	·	
9	·	
10		
11	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks sho	)W
12	below):	
13	Wallets and other coin or bill holders	
14	Handhags, purses, clutches and totes	
15	Belts	
16	Footwear	
17	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)	
18	Jewelry	
19	Key holders, keychains, and key caps	
20	Luggage tags and ID cases	
21	Bag charms and zipper pulls	
22	Lyeglass cases	
23	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, M	IP3
24	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops	
25	Coverings for journal/address books	
26	Cosmetic cases/bags	
27	Toiletry cases/bags	
28		

Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):  Collective Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries  Collective Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries  Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  X Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):  Collective Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries  Collective Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries  Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  X Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Collective Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries  Collective Brands  Collective Brands  Collective Brands  Collective Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries  Collective Brands  Collectiv
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  X Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  X Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  X Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  X Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  K Belts  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
below):  X Wallets and other coin or bill holders  X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  X Belts  X Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Wallets and other coin or bill holders  X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes  K Belts  K Footwear  Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
<ul> <li>X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes</li> <li>X Belts</li> <li>X Footwear</li> <li>Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)</li> <li>Jewelry</li> <li>Key holders, keychains, and key caps</li> <li>Luggage tags and ID cases</li> <li>Bag charms and zipper pulls</li> <li>Eyeglass cases</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>X Belts</li> <li>X Footwear</li> <li>Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)</li> <li>Jewelry</li> <li>Key holders, keychains, and key caps</li> <li>Luggage tags and ID cases</li> <li>Bag charms and zipper pulls</li> <li>Eyeglass cases</li> </ul>
X   Footwear
Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Jewelry  Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Key holders, keychains, and key caps  Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
Luggage tags and ID cases  Bag charms and zipper pulls  Eyeglass cases
20 Bag charms and zipper pulls 21 Eyeglass cases
21 Eyeglass cases
22 Commings/comm for mobile days of the first of the second state
Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
24 Coverings for journal/address books
25 Cosmetic cases/bags
26 Toiletry cases/bags
27
28
-1- CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1		Exhibit A
2	Nama	of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
3	Таше	
4		Steven Madden, Ltd., and its subsidiaries
5		Steven Madden Retail, Inc., and its subsidiaries
6		Big Buddha, Inc.
7		
8		on Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
9	below)	
10	<u>X</u>	Wallets and other coin or bill holders
11	<u>X</u>	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
12	<u>X</u>	Belts
13	<u>X</u>	Footwear
14 15	X	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)  Jewelry
16	X	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
17	<b>A</b>	Luggage tags and ID cases
18		Bag charms and zipper pulls
19	<b>√</b>	Eyeglass cases
20	<u>X</u>	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
21		players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
22		Coverings for journal/address books
23	$\overline{X}$	Cosmetic cases/bags
24	X	Toiletry cases/bags
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-1-

	Exhibit A
I	Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
	Jones Apparel Group, Inc.
	Jones Apparel Group USA, Inc.
	Jones Jeanswear Group, Inc.
	JAG Footwear, Accessories and Retail Corporation
	Jones Jewelry Group, Inc.
	Jones Distribution Corporation
	Moda Nicola International LLC
	Stuart Weitzman Holdings, LLC
	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
	below):
	X Wallets and other coin or bill holders
	_X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
	X Belts
	X Footwear
	X Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
	_X Jewelry
	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
	X Luggage tags and ID cases
	Bag charms and zipper pulls
	X Eyeglass cases
	X Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
	Coverings for journal/address books
	X Cosmetic cases/bags
	X Toiletry cases/bags
	-1- CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1 2		Exhibit A
3		
4	Nam	e of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership): Liz_Claiborne, Inc. / Kate Spade
5		Axcess Ruby and Mila
6		Villager Mac and Jac
7		Kensie Juicy Couture .
8		Lucky Brand Kate Spade/Jack Spade
9	1	DKNY Jeans DKNY Active .
10		DKNY Mens Liz & Co
11		Liz Claiborne Claiborne .
12	Fash	Liz Claiborne NY ion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
13	belov	v):
14	×	Wallets and other coin or bill holders
15	<u>x</u>	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
16	_X_	Belts
17	×	Footwear
. 18	<u> </u>	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
19	<u> </u>	Jewelry
20	×	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
21	<u>x</u>	Luggage tags and ID cases
22	<u>-x</u> _	Bag charms and zipper pulls
23	×	Eyeglass cases
24	_X_	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
25		players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
26	_X_	Coverings for journal/address books
27	-X-	Cosmetic cases/bags
28	<u> </u>	Toiletry cases/bags
		-1•

PRINTED TO PERSON TO THE PROPERTY OF THE TOP TO

# **EXHIBIT B**

1	Exhibi	t B
2		
3	Person(s) to receive Notices	s Pursuant to Section 7.1
4	Susan M. Rosenfeld Name	Michael J. Steel Name
5	Associate General Counsel – Intellectual Property Title	Partner
7	Phillips-Van Heusen Corporation	Morrison & Foerster LLP
8	200 Madison Avenue Address Line 1	425 Market Street Address Line 1
9	New York, NY 10016 Address Line 2	San Francisco, CA 94105
11	susanrosenfeld@pvh.com	Address Line 2  MSteel@mofo.com
12	Email Address	Email Address
13		
14		
15 16	·	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2·	-
	CONSENT HIDOMENT, LEAD O	CASE NO. CCC. 10.407720

1	Ext	nibit B
2	Dangan (a) ta maasina Nati	ing Divining the Continue 1
3	Person(s) to receive Noti	ices Pursuant to Section 7.1
4	Cary W. Mergele Name	Michael J. Steel Name
5	Associate General Counsel	
6	Title	Partner Title
7	Sears Holdings Management Corporation	Morrison & Foerster LLP
8	3333 Beverly Road Address Line 1	425 Market StreetAddress Line 1
9	Hoffman Estates, IL 60179	
10	Address Line 2	San Francisco, CA 94105 Address Line 2
11	Cary.Mergele@searshc.com Email Address	MSteel@mofo.com Email Address
12	Elian Addiess	Email Address
13		
14		
15		•
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEA	-2- AD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1	Exhibit B	
2	Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1	
3		
4	Trevor Shultz Jeffrey B. Margulies Name Name	
5	CEO Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Title Title	
6		
7	923 Oso Avenue 555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor Address Line 1 Address Line 1	
8	Chatsworth, CA 9131! Los Angeles, CA 90017 Address Line 2 Address Line 2	
10	Email Address jmargulies@fulbright.com  Email Address	
П		
12		
13		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
12		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
ا	-2-	
- 1	CONSENT WITCHARMT . I BAD CARE NO CCC. 10.407779	

### Exhibit B

### Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8.1

Catherine Ross Legal Department Aldo US Inc. 2300 Emile-Belanger Montreal, Quebec H4R 3J4 Canada cross@aldogroup.com With a copy to:
Jodi Smith
Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker, LLP
55 Second Street, 24th Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94105
jodismith@paulhastings.com

Exhibit B Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1 Name Office of General Counsel 1 Helen of Troy Plaza Address Line 1 El Paso, Texas 79912 Address Line 2 vcarson@hotus.com; wbass@hotus.com Email Address **Email Address** -2-

	-	
1	Exh	ibit B
2	Person(s) to receive Notice	ces Pursuant to Section 7.1
3	Paul Lin	Jennifer Sebenius
4	Name	Name
5	Jones Day, Partner Title	Director of Licensing Title
6	555 South Flower Street	4201 Baldwin Avenue
7	Address Line 1	Address Line 1
8	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Address Line 2	El Monte, CA 91731 Address Line 2
9	pclin@jonesday.com Email Address	jennifer@acmeacc.com
10	Email Address	Email Address
11		
12	· · ·	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	•	
27 28		
۷۵		-2-

ī	
2	Exhibit B
3	Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1
4	Douglas L. Williams
5	Name Name
6	EVP & General Counsel Title
7 8	3 Limited Parkway Address Line 1 Address Line 1
9	Columbus, Ohio 43230 Address Line 2 Address Line 2
10 11	dwilliams@limitedbrands.com Email Address Email Address
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
28	
	_1_

Exhibit B Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1 San Francisco CA Address Line 2 Richard son, TX 75072 Address Line 2 RFalk@M.Fo. Com Email Address -2-

Exhibit B Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1 Michael J. Massey Name Michael Morant Name Senior Vice President and General Counsel Title Counsel Title 3231 SE Sixth Avenue Address Line 1 3231 SE Sixth Avenue Address Line 1 Topeka, Kansas 66607 Address Line 2 Topeka, Kansas 66607 Address Line 2 Michael, Massey@collective brands.com
Email Address Michael.Morant@collective brands.com Email Address CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1	Exh	nibit B
2	Person(s) to receive Noti	ices Pursuant to Section 7.1
3	Matthew R. Orr, Esq.	
4	Name	Name
5	Counsel for Steve Madden, Ltd. and Steve Madden Retail, Inc.	
6	Title	Title
7	610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700 Address Line 1	Address Line 1
8 9	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Address Line 2	Address Line 2
0	morr@calljensen.com Email Address	Email Address
1	Eman Address	Eman Address
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8		
ا و		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
5		
7		
8		
	CONTENT VIDORATIVE A DI	-2- AD CASE NO. CCC 10 407710

	1		Jones Apparel Group, Inc
1			
2	Exi	hibit B	
3		ices Pursuant to Section 7.1	
4		•	
5	Beth Barban Dorfsman Name	Name	
6	Senior Vice President and Deputy General		
7	Counsel Title	Title	
8	1129 Westchester Avenue		
9	Address Line 1	Address Line 1	
10	White Plains, NY 10604 Address Line 2	Address Line 2	
11	Beth_Dorfsman@iny.com		
12	Email Address	Email Address	
13			
14			ļ
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-2-	

# **EXHIBIT C**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Clifford A. Chanler (Bar No. 135534) Laurence D. Haveson (Bar No. 152631) Josh Voorhees (Bar No. 241436) Troy C. Bailey ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiffs ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and JOHN MOORE		
9			
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
14			
15	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case Nos. CGC-10-497729 and CGC-10-	
16	Plaintiff, v.	498981 (Consolidated Herein)	
17	ALDO U.S., INC., ALDO GROUP, INC, et al.,		
18	Defendants.	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
19	Detendants.	JUDGMENT	
20	JOHN MOORE,		
21	Plaintiff,		
22	V.		
23	KATE SPADE, LLC, et al.,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

- 3. The terms of the Consent Judgment apply to the Opt-In Settling Defendant only as to those categories of Fashion Accessories selected above, which are also Covered Products.
- 4. One or more items within each selected category of Fashion Accessories contained, during the Relevant Period, Accessible Components as defined in the Consent Judgment (section 2.1) containing DEHP.
- The Company has not provided compliant Proposition 65 warnings in conjunction with the sale or use of all such Covered Products in California during the Relevant Period.
- 6. The Opt-In Settling Defendant has not performed a risk or exposure assessment establishing that the Covered Products it offered for sale in California during the Relevant Period did not require Proposition 65 warnings with respect to DEHP.
- 7. In conjunction with the execution of this Stipulation, the Company has provided the payments required of it under the Consent Judgment and shall make all future payments that may apply to the Company. The Company shall be bound by the injunctive relief provisions set forth in the Consent Judgment as it relates to the Covered Products.
- 8. At least 65 days prior to the submission of this Stipulation to the Court for entry, Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Dr. Held") shall serve a 60-day notice letter alleging certain violations of Proposition 65 with respect to sales of the Covered Products and, provided it has been mailed to the Company at the address shown in Exhibit B, the Company agrees to be deemed to have accepted service of the 60-day notice letter.
- 9. The Company hereby stipulates to be deemed to have voluntarily accepted service of the summons and complaint in this Action upon the filing of this Stipulation and agrees to be subject to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of the Consent Judgment.
- 10. Future notices concerning this Stipulation and the Consent Judgment shall be provided to the Company through its designated contact as shown in Exhibit B of the Consent Judgment. If the Company desires to change the individual and/or address designated to receive notice on its behalf, the Company shall provide written notice to plaintiffs' counsel via certified mail, return receipt requested or overnight courier at the address listed in Section 7.1

Į	
1	and to Settling Defendants' designated contacts via email at the email addresses shown on
2	Exhibit B of the Consent Judgment.
3	11. The undersigned have read, and the person and/or entity named below
4	knowingly and voluntarily agree to be bound by, all terms and conditions of this Stipulation
5	and the Consent Judgment as previously approved and entered by the San Francisco County
6	Superior Court in this Action.
7	12. The undersigned has full authority to make the written representations above
8	and to enter into this Stipulation for the person/entity on behalf of which he/she is signing.
9	
10	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED TO:
11	
12	By:
13 14	By: By: On Behalf of Plaintiffs, Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. and John Moore
15	Name (printed/typed)
16	Title (printed/typed)
17	On Behalf of:
18	
19	
20	(Insert Company Name)
21	Opt-In Settling Defendant
22	Dated: Dated:
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	3
	i